



DANAK
PT Reg.nr. 534



Proficiency test SPIL-4 (2022)

Nitrogen parameters in wastewater (effluent)

January 2023

Tlf: +45 7022 4266
e-mail: proficiency@eurofins.com
Web: www.eurofins.dk

Client Environmental laboratories		Client's representative			
Project Proficiency test SPIL-4 (2022)		Project No 20405-24			
Authors Peter Rerup		Date 2023-01-30			
		Approved by Rikke Mikkelsen			
	Quality Documentation Report	DPRE	FYE3	2023-01-26	
Revision	Description	By	Approved	Date	
Key words Analytical quality, assigned value, precision, trueness, homogeneity, stability, total nitrogen, ammonium, nitrite+nitrate, nitrate, conductivity, pH, wastewater		Classification ☒ Open ☐ Internal ☐ Proprietary			
Distribution Available online: www.eurofins.dk Eurofins:: Peter Rerup, Rikke Mikkelsen					

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2	FEATURES OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST	2
3	HOMOGENEITY OF SAMPLES.....	4
4	CONCLUSION	5
5	REFERENCES	6
ANNEX A	LIST OF PARTICIPANTS.....	8
ANNEX B	SAMPLE PREPARATION	10
ANNEX C	CONTROL OF SPIKE VALUES	11
ANNEX D	CONTROL OF RECOVERY	18
ANNEX E	CONCENTRATION LEVEL	24
ANNEX F	HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY	25

1 INTRODUCTION

A proficiency test on the analysis of nitrogen parameters in wastewater was conducted on 17 November 2022. The proficiency test was organised by Eurofins Miljø A/S.

The present report contains Eurofins' documentation for the quality of the proficiency test. Results of the proficiency test including data from participating laboratories and statistical analysis of these data were issued in a report to all participants /1/ on 20 December 2022.

2 FEATURES OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST

Participants in the proficiency test were a total of 54 laboratories from Denmark, Norway and Sweden. A list of participants is shown in Appendix A.

The closing date for submission of results was 2 December 2022. All participants except laboratory no. 51 had submitted their results before the deadline.

2.1 Sample preparation

The parameters covered in the proficiency test are listed in Table 2 as are the abbreviations used in this report.

Four samples were dispatched for the proficiency test. The samples were sample pairs covering the parameters as described in Table 1. The matrix of the samples represented wastewater, in this case effluent. Sample preparation is described in Appendix B.

Table 1 Samples in the proficiency test

Sample name	Parameters
A1/B1	TN, NH ₄ , NO ₂₊₃ , NO ₃ and γ_{25}
A2/B2	pH

2.2 Statistical analysis of participants' data

A split-level design was used. The data analysis was performed in accordance with ISO 5725: "Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results" (2019) /2/ and as described in detail in Spliid (1992) /3/. A short introduction to the statistics and a list of symbols and abbreviations used is given in Eurofins document "Schedule for a proficiency test", which is available at Eurofins' home page /4/.

The statistical model used is based on the assumption that the variances for the two samples in a sample pair are identical. The assumption was tested (F-test, 95% confidence level) and the result was that the two variances may be assumed to be identical for all parameters.

2.3 Assigned and spike value

An overview of the concentrations in the samples (the assigned values) and the difference in concentration between the two samples of a sample pair (spike value) are shown in Table 2 compared to the range of concentrations normally encountered in effluent. The table also gives the expanded uncertainty of the assigned values.

Table 2 Assigned and spike value

Parameter	Abbreviation	Unit	Typical Range	Assigned value	Uncertainty of assigned value	Spike value
Total nitrogen	TN	mg/L N	2-10	4.6	0.093	0.8
Ammonium	NH ₄	mg/L N	0.1-2	0.108	0.0058	0.231
Nitrite+nitrate	NO ₂₊₃	mg/L N	1-5	3.87	0.062	0.50
Nitrate	NO ₃	mg/L N	1-5	4.00	0.061	0.50
Conductivity	γ_{25}	mS/m	50-300	69	1.1	1
pH	pH		6-9	7.57	0.046	0

2.3.1 Assigned and spike values

The content of each parameter in each sample is given an assigned value for the sample with the lower content and a spike value, the spike value being the difference in concentration between the two samples of the sample pair.

In order to ensure optimal use of the data, the assigned value is calculated as the average of the median for both samples in the sample pair after subtraction of the spike value. The spike values are calculated from sample preparation except for conductivity and pH where the spike value is the difference between median values for the two samples in the sample pair.

The assigned value for TN is operationally defined and is a consensus value based upon the median for method no. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (TN). A list of method identification numbers is found in the report to participants /1/. Assigned values for NH_4 , NO_{2+3} , NO_3 , conductivity and pH are consensus values for all laboratories based on the median.

2.3.2 Test of spike values

A comparison was made (t-test, 95% confidence level) between the spike value and the difference in concentration between the two samples in the sample pair found from the laboratories' results, see Appendix C. The test showed no significant difference between the two for NH_4 , NO_{2+3} and pH, but the test revealed a significant difference between the two for TN, NO_3 and γ_{25} .

For TN the difference could be attributed to laboratories using methods other than that prescribed by the Danish EPA. The test was repeated after exclusion of the results for method no. 6, 51, 71, 71A and 72 and now showed no significant difference. The assigned spike value is therefore kept unchanged.

The difference for NO_3 and γ_{25} was significant and could not be attributed to specific methods or other conditions. However, the difference is numerically small and has insignificant influence on the general quality of analyses estimated from the data as well as on the evaluation of accuracy of participating laboratories.

2.3.3 Test of assigned values

The assigned value and the average of the results obtained from all laboratories were also compared (t-test, 95% confidence level), see Appendix D. The test showed no significant difference between the two and the control of assigned value at Eurofins confirmed the value (Appendix E).

3 **HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY OF SAMPLES**

The homogeneity and stability of samples was tested using the following parameters as indicators:

NH ₄	Combined homogeneity and stability test
NO ₃	Combined homogeneity and stability test
pH	Combined homogeneity and stability test

The results of control measurements are shown in Appendix F. The appendix also gives the results of the statistical evaluation of the control data. The data are analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) giving:

1. the standard deviation/variance for replicates (the contribution from analytical variability),
2. the between bottle standard deviation/variance (the contribution from heterogeneity)
3. the between days concentration difference (the contribution from instability).

Homogeneity is evaluated by comparing the between bottle variance to $0.3 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$ the standard deviation for evaluation of participants' performance ($0.3 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$) specified by the Danish EPA /5/. The test ensures that heterogeneity will not have negative influence on the evaluation of participant performance /6/.

The appendix also shows the standard deviation within and between laboratories from the proficiency test to allow comparison between tests performed and average quality from participating laboratories.

The tests for homogeneity show that the samples are homogeneous.

The tests for stability for NO₃ shows that the samples are stable.

The tests for stability for NH₄ and pH shows that the samples are not stable from the date of production to the day of analysis. Since the recoveries for the proficiency test were not significant different from 100 % and the proficiency should be performed on a specific date, the instability should not affect the validity of the proficiency test.

4 CONCLUSION

The quality control performed, including test of sample homogeneity as well as test of recovery of spike and assigned values, shows that the samples and their assigned values are suitable for testing the proficiency of the participating laboratories for all parameters. The results are also suitable for estimation of the general quality of analyses among all participating laboratories.

For NO_3 and γ_{25} the participants could not recover the spike value. The difference between the calculated spike value and that found by the participants is small and the influence on evaluation of participant performance or estimation of general quality of analyses is insignificant.

For TN the participants could not recover the spike value. The difference could be attributed to laboratories using methods other than that prescribed by the Danish EPA.

The tests for stability for NO_3 shows that the samples are stable.

The tests for stability for NH_4 and pH shows that the samples are not stable from the date of production to the date of analysis. The instability can give different results for laboratories analysing on other dates than the one set by the proficiency test.

5 REFERENCES

- /1/ Eurofins A/S, *Proficiency test SPIL-4 (2022)*, Report to participants, December 2022.
- /2/ ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*, 2019.
- /3/ Spliid, H., *Procedure and analysis of data for proficiency tests and environmental analyses*, Report to Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1994 (in Danish).
- /4/ Eurofins A/S, *Schedule for a proficiency test*, document may be downloaded from www.eurofins.dk/proficiencytest.
- /5/ Ministry of Environment regulation no. 2362 on *quality criteria for environmental measurements*, 26 November 2021 (in Danish).
- /6/ ISO 13528, *Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison*, 2015.

A N N E X E S

ANNEX A LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Laboratory	Town	Country
AquaDjurs A/S	Grenaa	Denmark
BIOFOS Renseanlæg, Avedøre Spildevands- og driftslaboratoriet	Hvidovre	Denmark
Bjergmarken RA, Fors Spildevand Roskilde	Roskilde	Denmark
BlueKolding A/S	Kolding	Denmark
Bornholms Spildevand A/S	Rønne	Denmark
Cheminova A/S	Harboøre	Denmark
CP Kelco, QC Analyselab.	Ll. Skensved	Denmark
DIN Forsyning, Laboratorium Rens Øst-Esbjerg	Esbjerg	Denmark
Eurofins Miljø A/S (2 participants)	Vejen	Denmark
Hillerød Spildevand (2 participants)	Hillerød	Denmark
Holbæk RA, Fors Spildevand Holbæk	Holbæk	Denmark
Holstebro Renseanlæg, Vestforsyning Spildevand	Holstebro	Denmark
Kerteminde Forsyning - Spildevand A/S	Kerteminde	Denmark
Klarforsyning, Køge-Egnens Renseanlæg	Køge	Denmark
NK-Forsyning A/S	Næstved	Denmark
Nyborg Forsyning & Service	Nyborg	Denmark
Provas - Haderslev Forsyningservice A/S	Haderslev	Denmark
RGS Nordic A/S	Skælskør	Denmark
Ringkøbing-Skjern Forsyning A/S	Skjern	Denmark
SK Spildevand A/S, Slagelse Renseanlæg (2 participants)	Slagelse	Denmark
Svendborg Spildevand A/S, Svendborg Centralrenseanlæg	Skårup Fyn	Denmark
Vandmiljø Randers A/S	Randers SØ	Denmark
Vejle Spildevand	Vejle	Denmark
Aalborg Forsyning, Renseanlæg Øst	Aalborg Øst	Denmark
SGS Analytics Norway AS (Hamar)	Hamar	Norway
AB Lennart Månsson International	Helsingborg	Sweden

Laboratory	Town	Country
Arctic Paper Munkedals AB	Munkedal	Sweden
Ernemar Laboratorium	Oskarshamn	Sweden
Fiskeby Board AB	Norrköping	Sweden
GRYAAB AB	Göteborg	Sweden
Holmen Paper Braviken AB, Bravikens Pappersbruk	Norrköping	Sweden
Kalmar Vatten AB, VA-lab	Kalmar	Sweden
Kristianstad Kommun	Kristianstad	Sweden
Käppalaverket	Lidingö	Sweden
MittSweden Vatten och Avfall AB, Fillanverket Laboratoriet	Sundsvall	Sweden
Mjölby Kommun (2 participants)	Mjölby	Sweden
Motala Kommun, Tekniska Förvaltningen, Vatten och Avfall	Motala	Sweden
Nordic Sugar AB, Örtofta Sockerbruk	Eslöv	Sweden
Preemraff AB Göteborg	Göteborg	Sweden
Preemraff Lysekil	Lysekil	Sweden
Reningsverket Aggerud	Karlskoga	Sweden
Smurfit Kappa Piteå	Piteå	Sweden
St1 Refinery AB	Göteborg	Sweden
Södra Cell AB Mönsterås	Mönsterås	Sweden
Södra Cell AB, Värö	Väröbacka	Sweden
Vallviks Bruk AB	Vallvik	Sweden
VIVAB	Varberg	Sweden
Västervik Miljö & Energi AB, Vattenlaboratoriet	Västervik	Sweden
Växjö Kommun, Tekniska Förvaltningen/VA-Lab.	Växjö	Sweden
Yara AB	Köping	Sweden

ANNEX B SAMPLE PREPARATION

Stock solution	Prepared from	Concentration
Stock TN	3.000 g Disodium edetate, 2H ₂ O milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	TN: 225.8 mg/kg N
Stock NH ₄	0.999 g Ammonium chloride (NH ₄ Cl) milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	NH ₄ : 261.8 mg/kg N
Stock NO ₃	2.499 g Potassium nitrate (KNO ₃) milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	NO ₃ : 346.3 mg/kg N

Sample	Sample prepared from	TN mg/L N	NH ₄ mg/L N	NO ₃ mg/L N	γ ₂₅ mS/m
A1	0 g stock TN 0 g stock NH ₄ 300.0 g stock NO ₃ 300.0 g stock NaCl Filtered water from Holsted sewage treatment plant up to 65.00 kg	a+4.60	b+0.109	c+4.00	d
B1	10.00 g stock TN 30.00 g stock NH ₄ 50.00 g stock NO ₃ Sample A1 up to 34.00 kg	0.997 · (a+4.60) + 0.795	0.997 · (b+0.109) + 0.231	0.997 · (c+4.00) + 0.499	e

Sample	Sample prepared from	pH
A2/B2	Filtered water from Holsted sewage treatment plant	f

ANNEX C CONTROL OF SPIKE VALUES

Total Nitrogen, mg/l N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB	
1	0.200	
2	0.020	
3	-0.020	
4	-0.120	
5	0.240	
6	0.170	
7	-0.040	
8	0.000	
9	-0.030	
10	0.020	
11	-	
12	0.220	
13	-0.050	
14	-0.100	
15	-	
16	0.310	
17	-0.190	
18	0.070	
19	-0.120	
20	0.110	
21	-	
22	0.000	
23	0.040	
24	0.420	
25	0.073	
26	0.230	
27	-0.350	
28	0.020	
29	0.125	
30	0.000	
31	-0.140	
32	0.370	
33	0.190	
34	0.060	
35	-0.110	
36	0.230	
37	-0.040	
38	-0.230	
39	0.120	
40	0.320	
41	0.037	
42	0.160	
43	-0.070	
44	-1.200	UC
45	0.240	
46	-0.140	
47	-0.060	
48	-0.040	
49	0.420	
50	0.160	
52	0.380	

Laboratory	Difference AB
53	0.630
54	-
No of labs., p	48
No of repl., n	2
d	0.078
s ²	0.037
s	0.193
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	2.7992
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0074 **

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

Ammonium, mg/l N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB	
1	-0.0080	
2	0.0100	
3	0.0110	
4	0.0070	
5	-	
6	0.0140	
7	-0.0350	
8	0.0310	
9	-0.0190	
10	-0.0050	
11	-	
12	-0.0090	
13	-0.0010	
14	-0.0030	
15	-0.0250	
16	-0.0020	
17	-0.0100	
18	-0.0040	
19	-	
20	0.0010	
21	-	
22	0.0060	
23	0.0120	
24	-	
25	-0.0110	
26	0.0010	
27	0.1100	UC
28	0.0000	
29	-0.0280	
30	0.0910	UC
31	-0.0030	
32	0.0180	
33	-0.0100	
34	-0.0053	
35	0.0000	
36	-0.0010	
37	0.0010	
38	-0.0090	
39	-0.0190	
40	-0.0010	
41	0.0640	UC
42	0.0330	
43	-	
44	-0.0200	
45	0.0010	
46	0.0080	
47	-0.0150	
48	-0.0020	
49	-0.0180	
50	-	
52	0.0190	
53	-	
54	-	

No of labs., p	41
No of repl., n	2
d	-0.0022
s ²	0.0002
s	0.0143
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	-0.9849
Sign. level, p(t)	0.3306

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrite/nitrate, mg/l N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	-
2	-
3	-
4	0.130
5	-
6	-
7	-
8	-
9	0.020
10	-
11	-
12	-
13	-
14	-
15	0.128
16	-
17	-
18	-
19	-
20	-0.330 UC
21	-
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	-
26	0.020
27	0.030
28	-
29	-
30	-0.010
31	-
32	-
33	-
34	0.085
35	0.010
36	0.020
37	-
38	-0.030
39	-
40	0.010
41	-0.067
42	-
43	-
44	-
45	-
46	-
47	-
48	-
49	-
50	-
52	-
53	-
54	-

No of labs., p	12
No of repl., n	2
d	0.029
s ²	0.003
s	0.059
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	1.6922
Sign. level, p(t)	0.1187

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrate, mg/l N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	-0.010
2	0.100
3	-0.020
4	-
5	-
6	0.000
7	0.100
8	0.060
9	0.020
10	0.050
11	-
12	0.010
13	0.020
14	-
15	-
16	0.060
17	0.090
18	0.020
19	-
20	-
21	-
22	-0.040
23	0.070
24	-
25	-0.060
26	-
27	-
28	-0.070
29	-0.078
30	-
31	0.010
32	-0.050
33	0.010
34	-
35	0.011
36	-
37	-
38	-
39	0.200 UG
40	-
41	-
42	0.000
43	-
44	-0.030
45	0.050
46	0.060
47	-
48	0.040
49	0.070
50	-
52	0.110
53	-
54	-

No of labs., p	29
No of repl., n	2
d	0.021
s ²	0.003
s	0.052
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	2.1413
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0411 *

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

Conductivity, mS/m

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB	
1	-	
2	-	
3	-	
4	0.10	
5	-	
6	-	
7	-	
8	-0.10	
9	-	
10	-	
11	-	
12	-	
13	0.30	
14	-	
15	-	
16	0.60	
17	0.20	
18	-	
19	-	
20	-	
21	1.00	
22	0.20	
23	0.20	
24	-	
25	-0.10	
26	-	
27	0.25	
28	-	
29	-0.30	
30	0.25	
31	-13.50	UC
32	-	
33	-	
34	-	
35	-0.30	
36	-	
37	-	
38	-1.80	UC
39	0.40	
40	0.70	
41	0.40	
42	0.30	
43	0.05	UG
44	0.40	
45	-	
46	-	
47	-	
48	-	
49	-	
50	0.10	
52	0.00	
53	-	
54	-	

No of labs., p	20
No of repl., n	2
d	0.23
s ²	0.10
s	0.32
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	3.2382
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0043 **

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

pH,
Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	0.010
2	0.377
3	-0.030
4	-0.020
5	-
6	-0.039
7	-0.130
8	0.240
9	-
10	-0.150
11	0.010
12	0.000
13	-0.070
14	-0.010
15	-0.010
16	-0.182
17	-0.030
18	0.260
19	-
20	-0.150
21	-0.020
22	-0.050
23	-0.040
24	-
25	0.000
26	-0.040
27	-0.040
28	-0.010
29	-0.010
30	-
31	0.040
32	0.140
33	-0.030
34	-
35	-0.080
36	-0.060
37	-0.030
38	0.020
39	-0.190
40	-0.030
41	-0.150
42	0.590 UC
43	0.030
44	-0.020
45	-0.020
46	-
47	0.010
48	-0.230
49	0.020
50	0.040
52	-0.050
53	-
54	0.000

No of labs., p	44
No of repl., n	2
d	-0.016
s ²	0.012
s	0.110
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	-0.9930
Sign. level, p(t)	0.3262

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

ANNEX D CONTROL OF RECOVERY

Total Nitrogen, mg/l N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	5.080
2	4.680
3	4.390
4	4.780
5	4.270
6	4.325
7	4.700
8	4.370
9	4.555
10	4.640
11	-
12	4.980
13	4.555
14	4.250
15	-
16	4.135
17	4.985
18	4.705
19	4.590
20	4.635
21	-
22	4.760
23	5.000
24	3.730
25	4.453
26	4.725
27	4.565
28	4.360
29	3.785
30	4.870
31	4.700
32	4.515
33	4.325
34	4.080
35	5.365
36	5.025
37	4.290
38	4.625
39	4.180
40	3.690
41	5.431
42	5.090
43	4.845
44	4.020 UC
45	4.230
46	4.460
47	4.620
48	4.420
49	4.510
50	4.050
52	4.690

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
53	4.155
54	4.330
No of labs., p	48
No of repl., n	2
m	4.545
s ²	0.144
s	0.379
Assigned value, μ	4.60
Recovery, %	98.8
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-1.0013
Sign. level, p(t)	0.3218

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Ammonium, mg/l N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	0.0980
2	0.0900
3	0.1345
4	0.0855
5	-
6	0.1000
7	0.1205
8	0.1945
9	0.1695
10	0.1085
11	-
12	0.1065
13	0.1145
14	0.1075
15	0.1381
16	0.0980
17	0.1110
18	0.1120
19	-
20	0.1095
21	-
22	0.1200
23	0.0580
24	-
25	0.1095
26	0.0995
27	0.0050 UC
28	0.0980
29	0.1370
30	0.2545 UC
31	0.1005
32	0.0800
33	0.1110
34	0.1571
35	0.0940
36	0.0965
37	0.0995
38	0.1105
39	0.1095
40	0.1385
41	0.1990 UC
42	0.0645
43	-
44	0.1240
45	0.0795
46	0.0870
47	0.1185
48	0.0970
49	0.1130
50	-
52	0.0875
53	-
54	0.1690

No of labs., p	41
No of repl., n	2
m	0.1095
s ²	0.0007
s	0.0257
Assigned value, μ	0.108
Recovery, %	101.4
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	0.3654
Sign. level, p(t)	0.7167

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrite/nitrate, mg/l N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	-
2	-
3	-
4	3.775
5	-
6	-
7	-
8	-
9	4.070
10	-
11	-
12	-
13	-
14	-
15	3.996
16	-
17	-
18	-
19	-
20	3.755 UC
21	-
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	-
26	3.850
27	3.455
28	-
29	-
30	3.875
31	-
32	-
33	-
34	3.821
35	3.737
36	3.870
37	-
38	3.915
39	-
40	3.915
41	3.952
42	-
43	-
44	-
45	-
46	-
47	-
48	-
49	-
50	-
52	-
53	-
54	3.630

No of labs., p	12
No of repl., n	2
m	3.853
s ²	0.024
s	0.155
Assigned value, μ	3.87
Recovery, %	99.6
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-0.3885
Sign. level, p(t)	0.7051

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrate, mg/l N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	4.045
2	3.860
3	4.260
4	-
5	-
6	3.850
7	4.050
8	4.190
9	4.060
10	3.965
11	-
12	4.065
13	3.840
14	-
15	-
16	3.920
17	4.075
18	4.020
19	-
20	-
21	-
22	4.040
23	3.895
24	-
25	4.080
26	-
27	-
28	3.865
29	4.087
30	-
31	4.045
32	3.865
33	4.065
34	-
35	3.732
36	-
37	-
38	-
39	3.000 UG
40	-
41	-
42	3.990
43	-
44	4.095
45	3.715
46	3.690
47	-
48	4.030
49	3.925
50	-
52	3.875
53	-
54	3.630

No of labs., p	29
No of repl., n	2
m	3.972
s ²	0.019
s	0.137
Assigned value, μ	4.00
Recovery, %	99.3
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-1.0929
Sign. level, p(t)	0.2837

No test statistics were found to be significant
UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

Conductivity, mS/m
Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	-
2	-
3	-
4	70.35
5	-
6	-
7	-
8	66.25
9	-
10	-
11	-
12	-
13	70.35
14	-
15	-
16	70.20
17	70.50
18	-
19	-
20	-
21	71.20
22	69.50
23	70.60
24	-
25	68.25
26	-
27	68.36
28	-
29	66.45
30	68.17
31	74.95 UC
32	-
33	-
34	-
35	66.15
36	-
37	-
38	66.00 UC
39	69.60
40	68.15
41	69.00
42	66.65
43	61.69 UG
44	70.10
45	-
46	-
47	-
48	-
49	-
50	69.95
52	71.00
53	-
54	-

No of labs., p	20
No of repl., n	2
m	69.04
s ²	2.71
s	1.65
Assigned value, μ	69
Recovery, %	100.1
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	0.1059
Sign. level, p(t)	0.9168

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier
UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

pH,
Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	7.555
2	7.783
3	7.755
4	7.600
5	-
6	7.615
7	7.695
8	8.210
9	-
10	7.675
11	8.123
12	7.680
13	7.465
14	7.795
15	7.395
16	7.315
17	7.305
18	7.890
19	-
20	7.585
21	7.580
22	7.585
23	7.480
24	-
25	7.570
26	7.450
27	7.550
28	7.355
29	7.515
30	-
31	7.520
32	7.910
33	7.495
34	-
35	7.520
36	7.470
37	7.665
38	7.610
39	8.085
40	7.685
41	7.325
42	7.725 UC
43	7.565
44	7.380
45	7.400
46	-
47	7.825
48	7.445
49	7.620
50	7.510
52	7.445
53	-
54	7.580

No of labs., p	44
No of repl., n	2
m	7.604
s ²	0.043
s	0.207
Assigned value, μ	7.57
Recovery, %	100.5
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	1.0936
Sign. level, p(t)	0.2802

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

ANNEX E CONCENTRATION LEVEL

Concentration level SPIL-4 (2022)

Parameter	Unit	Sample	Bottle no.	I	II	Bottle Average	Sample Average	Assigned value	Spike	
									Measured	Assigned
Total nitrogen	mg/L N	A1	10	4,69	4,71	4,70	4,75	4,60	0,75	0,80
			42	4,83	4,84	4,84				
			61	4,72	4,69	4,71				
		B1	15	5,51	5,54	5,53	5,49	5,35		
			45	5,49	5,49	5,49				
Ammonium	mg/L N	A1	81	5,49	5,44	5,47	0,100	0,108	0,24	0,231
			10	0,101	0,103	0,10				
			42	0,097	0,098	0,10				
		B1	61	0,099	0,100	0,10	0,345	0,339		
			15	0,352	0,354	0,35				
Nitrite+nitrate / Nitrate	mg/L N	A1	45	0,339	0,339	0,34	4,07	3,87	0,47	0,50
			81	0,341	0,342	0,34				
			10	4,09	4,10	4,10				
		B1	42	4,05	4,05	4,05	4,54	4,50		
			61	4,07	4,05	4,06				
pH		A2	15	4,53	4,53	4,53	7,60	7,57	0,00	0,00
			45	4,55	4,53	4,54				
			81	4,57	4,54	4,56				
		B2	6	7,61	7,60	7,61	7,61	7,57		
			37	7,61	7,58	7,60				
			64	7,62	7,60	7,61				
			12	7,62	7,59	7,61				
			45	7,62	7,59	7,61				
			78	7,61	7,60	7,61				

ANNEX F HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY

PT:SPIL-4
Parameter:NH ₄
Unit:mg/L N
Sigma:0,036

Responsible for tests: DHBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test Date:2022-11-01

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
1	0,352	0,354	0,4	0,001	0,000
11	0,367	0,368	0,4	0,001	0,00
22	0,368	0,369	0,4	0,001	0,000
26	0,364	0,365	0,4	0,001	0,000
33	0,364	0,365	0,4	0,001	0,000
40	0,37	0,371	0,4	0,001	0,000
49	0,354	0,356	0,4	0,001	0,000
52	0,366	0,366	0,4	0,000	0,000
62	0,365	0,365	0,4	0,000	0,000
67	0,365	0,365	0,4	0,000	0,000
74	0,366	0,366	0,4	0,000	0,000
85	0,339	0,337	0,3	0,001	0,000

For homogeneity

General average (x)	0,36
Sample average sd (s _x)	0,009
Within-sample sd (s _w):	0,001
Between-samples sd (ss):	0,0091
S _L in the Proficiency Test:	0,025
S _R in the Proficiency Test:	0,027

Stability test Date: 2022-22-17

Sample	x(a)	x(b)
15	0,352	0,354
45	0,339	0,339
81	0,341	0,342

For stability

General average (y):	0,3445
/x-y/ =	0,017458

Conclusions

ss =0,009 0.3*sigma=0,01	
/x-y/ =0,017458	
Analytical quality	Is s _w < 0,15*sigma YES
Homogeneity:	Is ss < 0.3*sigma? YES
Stability:	/x-y/ < 0.3*sigma? NO

PT: SPIL-4
Parameter: NO₃
Unit: mg/L N
Sigma: 0,25

Responsible for tests: DHBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test Date: 2022-11-01

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
1	4,5	4,55	4,5	0,007	0,000
11	4,6	4,62	4,6	0,014	0,000
22	4,6	4,56	4,6	0,007	0,000
26	4,7	4,59	4,6	0,057	0,003
33	4,6	4,64	4,6	0,000	0,000
40	4,7	4,65	4,7	0,000	0,000
49	4,6	4,61	4,6	0,007	0,000
52	4,6	4,59	4,6	0,014	0,000
62	4,6	4,64	4,6	0,007	0,000
67	4,6	4,58	4,6	0,014	0,000
74	4,6	4,59	4,6	0,007	0,000
85	4,6	4,62	4,6	0,028	0,001

For homogeneity

General average (x)	4,6
Sample average sd (s _x)	0,033
Within-sample sd (s _w):	0,020
Between-samples sd (ss):	0,030
S _L in the Proficiency Test:	0,134
S _R in the Proficiency Test:	0,139

Stability test Date: 2022-11-17

Sample	x(a)	x(b)
15	4,53	4,53
45	4,55	4,53
81	4,57	4,54

For stability

General average (y):	4,541667
/x-y/ =	0,062083

Conclusions

ss = 0,03 0.3*sigma= 0,07	
/x-y/ = 0,062083	
Analytical quality	Is s _w < 0,15*sigma YES
Homogeneity:	Is ss < 0.3*sigma? YES
Stability:	/x-y/ < 0.3*sigma? YES

PT: SPIL-4
 Parameter: pH
 Unit:
 Sigma: 0,072

Responsible for tests: DHBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test Date: 2022-11-01

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
4	7,54	7,55	7,55	0,007	0,000
14	7,51	7,55	7,53	0,028	0,00
17	7,52	7,56	7,54	0,028	0,001
29	7,51	7,54	7,53	0,021	0,000
32	7,51	7,56	7,54	0,035	0,001
42	7,55	7,53	7,54	0,014	0,000
47	7,52	7,54	7,53	0,014	0,000
57	7,54	7,54	7,54	0,000	0,000
61	7,53	7,54	7,54	0,007	0,000
68	7,52	7,54	7,53	0,014	0,000
77	7,55	7,53	7,54	0,014	0,000
85	7,54	7,51	7,53	0,021	0,000

For homogeneity

General average (x)	7,53
Sample average sd (s _x)	0,007
Within-sample sd (s _w):	0,020
Between-samples sd (ss):	0
S _L in the Proficiency Test:	0,199
S _R in the Proficiency Test:	0,214

Stability test Date: 2022-11-17

Sample	x(a)	x(b)
12	7,62	7,59
45	7,62	7,59
78	7,61	7,6

For stability

General average (y):	7,605
/x-y/ =	0,070417

Conclusions

ss = 0 0.3*sigma= 0,02	
/x-y/ = 0,070417	
Analytical quality	Is s _w < 0,15*sigma NO
Homogeneity:	Is ss < 0.3*sigma? YES
Stability:	/x-y/ < 0.3*sigma? NO