

Proficiency test SPIL-2 (2020)

**Nitrogen parameters in wastewater
(synthetic wastewater, effluent)**

Proficiency test SPIL-2 (2020) Quality Documentation

Eurofins Miljø A/S
Smedeskovvej 38
DK-8464 Galten
Denmark

Tlf: +45 7022 4266
e-mail: proficiency@eurofins.com
Web: www.eurofins.dk

Client Environmental laboratories	Client's representative
--	-------------------------

Project Proficiency test SPIL-2 (2020)	Project No 20405-02
Authors Peter Rerup	Date 2020-07-28
	Approved by Rikke Mikkelsen
1	Quality Documentation Report
PRE	FYE3
	2020-07-28
Revision	Description
By	Approved
Date	
Key words Analytical quality, assigned value, precision, trueness, homogeneity, stability, total nitrogen, ammonium, nitrite+nitrate, conductivity, pH, wastewater	Classification ☒ Open ☐ Internal ☐ Proprietary

Distribution DANAK Eurofins:	Peter Rerup, Rikke Mikkelsen
--	------------------------------

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2	FEATURES OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST	2
2.1	Sample preparation.....	2
2.2	Statistical analysis of participants' data	2
2.3	Assigned and spike value.....	2
2.3.1	Assigned and spike values.....	3
2.3.2	Test of spike values	3
2.3.3	Test of assigned values.....	3
3	HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY OF SAMPLES.....	4
4	CONCLUSION	5
5	REFERENCES	6
ANNEX A	LIST OF PARTICIPANTS.....	8
ANNEX B	SAMPLE PREPARATION	10
ANNEX C	CONTROL OF SPIKE VALUES	11
ANNEX D	CONTROL OF RECOVERY.....	18
ANNEX E	CONCENTRATION LEVEL.....	24
ANNEX F	HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY	25

1 INTRODUCTION

A proficiency test on the analysis of nitrogen parameters in wastewater was conducted on 14 May 2020. The proficiency test was organised by Eurofins Miljø A/S.

The present report contains Eurofins' documentation for the quality of the proficiency test. Results of the proficiency test including data from participating laboratories and statistical analysis of these data were issued in a report to all participants /1/ on 16 June 2020.

2 FEATURES OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST

Participants in the proficiency test were a total of 46 laboratories from Denmark, Norway and Sweden. A list of participants is shown in Appendix A.

The closing date for submission of results was 28 May 2020. All participants except laboratory no. 26, 30 and 38 had submitted their results before the dead-line.

2.1 Sample preparation

The parameters covered in the proficiency test are listed in Table 2 as are the abbreviations used in this report.

Four samples were dispatched for the proficiency test. The samples were sample pairs covering the parameters as described in Table 1. The matrix of the samples represented wastewater, in this case synthetic wastewater, effluent. Sample preparation is described in Appendix B.

Table 1 Samples in the proficiency test

Sample name	Parameters
A1/B1	TN, NH ₄ , NO ₂₊₃ , NO ₃ , γ ₂₅
A2/B2	pH

2.2 Statistical analysis of participants' data

A split-level design was used. The data analysis was performed in accordance with ISO 5725: "Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results" (1994) /2/ and as described in detail in Spliid (1992) /3/. A short introduction to the statistics and a list of symbols and abbreviations used is given in Eurofins document "Schedule for a proficiency test", which is available at Eurofins' home page /4/.

The statistical model used is based on the assumption that the variances for the two samples in a sample pair are identical. The assumption was tested (F-test, 95% confidence level) and the result was that the two variances may be assumed to be identical for all parameters.

2.3 Assigned and spike value

An overview of the concentrations in the samples (the assigned values) and the difference in concentration between the two samples of a sample pair (spike value) are shown in Table 2 compared to the range of concentrations normally encountered in synthetic wastewater, effluent. The table also gives the expanded uncertainty of the assigned values.

Table 2 Assigned and spike value

Parameter	Abbreviation	Unit	Typical Range	Assigned value	Uncertainty of assigned value	Spike value
Total nitrogen	TN	mg/l N	2-10	6.97	0.024	1.86
Ammonium	NH ₄	mg/l N	0.1-2	0.786	0.0066	0.171
Nitrite+nitrate	NO ₂₊₃	mg/l N	1-5	2.43	0.020	0.45
Nitrate	NO ₃	mg/l N	1-5	2.38	0.020	0.42
Conductivity	γ_{25}	mS/m	50-300	105.7	1.6	20
pH	pH		6-9	6.90	0.013	0

2.3.1 Assigned and spike values

The content of each parameter in each sample is given an assigned value for the sample with the lower content and a spike value, the spike value being the difference in concentration between the two samples of the sample pair. The assigned and spike values are both calculated from sample preparation for TN, NH₄ and NO₂₊₃. For NO₃, conductivity and pH the assigned value is a consensus value for all laboratories based on the median and the spike value for the two samples in the sample pair.

2.3.2 Test of spike values

A comparison was made (t-test, 95% confidence level) between the spike value and the difference in concentration between the two samples in the sample pair found from the laboratories' results, see Appendix C. The test showed no significant difference between the two for NO₂₊₃, NO₃ and pH. The test revealed a significant difference between the two for TN, NH₄ and conductivity. For TN the difference could be attributed to influence from laboratories using methods other than those prescribed by the Danish EPA. The test was repeated after exclusion of the results for method no. 6, 9, 71, 71A and 71B and now showed no significant difference. The spike value is therefore kept unchanged. For NH₄ and conductivity the difference is numerically small and has insignificant influence on the general quality of analyses estimated from the data as well as on the evaluation of accuracy of participating laboratories.

2.3.3 Test of assigned values

The assigned value and the average of the results obtained from all laboratories were also compared (t-test, 95% confidence level), see Appendix D. For most of the parameters the test showed no significant difference between the two and the control of assigned value at Eurofins confirmed the value (Appendix E). The test revealed a significant difference between the two for TN. Average recovery was 96,8 %. The difference could be attributed to influence from laboratories using methods other than those prescribed by the Danish EPA. The test was repeated after exclusion of the results for method no. 6, 9, 71, 71A and 71B and now showed no significant difference. The assigned value is therefore kept unchanged.

3 **HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY OF SAMPLES**

The homogeneity and stability of samples were tested using the following parameters as indicators:

NH ₄	Combined homogeneity and stability test
NO ₃	Homogeneity test
pH	Homogeneity test

The results of control measurements are shown in Appendix F. The appendix also gives the results of the statistical evaluation of the control data. The data are analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) giving:

1. the standard deviation/variance for replicates (the contribution from analytical variability),
2. the between bottle standard deviation/variance (the contribution from heterogeneity) and
3. the between days concentration difference (the contribution from instability).

Homogeneity is evaluated by comparing the between bottle variance to $0.3 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$ the standard deviation for evaluation of participants' performance ($0.3 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$) specified by the Danish EPA /5/, whereas the stability is evaluated by comparing the concentration change of the samples to $0.3 \cdot \hat{\sigma}$. This test ensures that heterogeneity and instability will not have negative influence on the evaluation of participant performance /6/.

The appendix also shows the standard deviation within and between laboratories from the proficiency test to allow comparison between tests performed and average quality from participating laboratories.

The tests for stability and homogeneity show that the samples are stable and homogeneous.

4 CONCLUSION

The quality control performed, including test of sample stability and homogeneity as well as test of recovery of spike and assigned values, shows that the samples and their assigned values are suitable for testing the proficiency of the participating laboratories for all parameters. The results are also suitable for estimation of the general quality of analyses among all participating laboratories.

For TN the participants could not recover the spike value. The difference between the calculated spike value and that found by the participants is small and the influence on evaluation of participant performance or estimation of general quality of analyses is insignificant.

5 REFERENCES

- /1/ Eurofins A/S, *Proficiency test SPIL-2 (2020)*, Report to participants, June 2020.
- /2/ ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*, 1994.
- /3/ Spliid, H., *Procedure and analysis of data for proficiency tests and environmental analyses*, Report to Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1994 (in Danish).
- /4/ Eurofins A/S, *Schedule for a proficiency test*, document may be downloaded from www.eurofins.dk/proficiencytest.
- /5/ Ministry of Environment and Food, regulation no. 1071 on *quality criteria for environmental measurements*, 30 October 2019 (in Danish).
- /6/ ISO 13528, *Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison*, 2015.

A N N E X E S

ANNEX A LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Laboratory	Town	Country
Analyseenheden, Institut for Agroøkologi, Aarhus Universitet	Tjele	Denmark
AquaDjurs A/S	Grenaa	Denmark
Biofos A/S	København K	Denmark
Bjergmarken RA, Fors Spildevand Roskilde	Roskilde	Denmark
BlueKolding A/S	Kolding	Denmark
CP Kelco, QC Analyselab.	Ll. Skensved	Denmark
Eurofins Miljø A/S (2 participants)	Vejen	Denmark
Hillerød Spildevand	Hillerød	Denmark
Holbæk RA, Fors Spildevand Holbæk	Holbæk	Denmark
Holstebro Renseanlæg, Vestforsyning Spildevand	Holstebro	Denmark
Kerteminde Forsyning - Spildevand A/S	Kerteminde	Denmark
Klarforsyning, Køge-Egnens Renseanlæg	Køge	Denmark
Melby Renseanlæg	Liseleje	Denmark
NK-Forsyning A/S	Næstved	Denmark
Nyborg Forsyning & Service	Nyborg	Denmark
Provas - Haderslev Forsyningsservice A/S	Haderslev	Denmark
Ringkøbing-Skjern Forsyning A/S	Skjern	Denmark
Rønne Renseanlæg	Rønne	Denmark
SK Spildevand A/S, Slagelse Renseanlæg (5 participants)	Slagelse	Denmark
Spildevandslaboratoriet (2 participants)	Esbjerg	Denmark
Vandmiljø Randers A/S	Randers SØ	Denmark
Vejle Spildevand	Vejle	Denmark
SYNLAB AS (Hamar)	Hamar	Norway
AB Borlänge Energi, Borlänge Reningsverk	Borlänge	Sweden
AB Lennart Månsson International	Helsingborg	Sweden
Arctic Paper Munkedals AB	Munkedal	Sweden
GRYAAB AB	Göteborg	Sweden
Kalmar Vatten AB, VA-lab	Kalmar	Sweden
Klippans Reningsverk	Klippan	Sweden
Laboratoriet vid Smedjeholms avloppsreningsverk	Falkenberg	Sweden
Ljungby Kommun, Avloppsreningsverket	Ljungby	Sweden
Mjölby Kommun	Mjölby	Sweden

Laboratory	Town	Country
Nordic Sugar, Örtofta Sockerbruk	Eslöv	Sweden
Preem AB Göteborg	Göteborg	Sweden
Preemraff Lysekil	Lysekil	Sweden
Smurfit Kappa Piteå	Piteå	Sweden
St1 Refinery AB	Göteborg	Sweden
Uddebo Laboratorium	Luleå	Sweden
Vallviks Bruk AB	Vallvik	Sweden
Västervik Miljö & Energi AB, Vattenlaboratoriet	Västervik	Sweden

ANNEX B SAMPLE PREPARATION

Stock solution	Prepared from	Concentration
Stock TN	25.00 g Disodium edetate, 2H ₂ O milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	TN: 1882 mg/kg N
Stock NH ₄	10.0 g Ammonium chloride (NH ₄ Cl) milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	NH ₄ : 2619 mg/kg N
Stock NO ₃	2.500 g Potassium nitrate (KNO ₃) milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	NO ₃ : 346.4 mg/kg N
Stock NaCl	50.000 g Sodium chloride (NaCl) milli-Q water up to 1000.0 g	conductivity: c. 10679 mS/m

Sample	Sample prepared from	TN mg/L N	NH ₄ mg/L N	NO ₃ mg/L N	Conductivity mS/m
A1	100 g stock TN 15 g stock NH ₄ 350 g stock NO ₃ 500 g stock NaCl milli-Q water up to 50.00 kg	6.97	0.786	2.43	118.3
B1	20 g stock TN 2,0 g stock NH ₄ 40.00 g stock NO ₃ 60.0 g stock NaCl Sample A1 up to 30.00 kg	0.996 · 6.97 + 1.86	0.996 · 0.786 + 0.171	0.996 · 2.43 + 0,452	0.996 · 118.3 + 21.4

Sample	Sample prepared from	pH
A2/B2	42.50 g Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH ₂ PO ₄) 55.65 g Disodium hydrogen phosphate, 2H ₂ O (Na ₂ HPO ₄ , 2H ₂ O) milli-Q water up to 12500 g	c. 6.90

ANNEX C CONTROL OF SPIKE VALUES

Total nitrogen, mg/L N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	-0.040
2	0.010
3	0.140
4	0.130
5	0.190
6	0.130
7	-0.060
8	0.010
9	0.140
10	0.270
11	0.100
12	0.441
13	0.310
14	0.780
15	-0.120
16	0.000
17	0.240
18	0.230
18A	0.170
19	1.300
20	0.980
21	-
22	0.130
23	0.150
24	0.840
25	0.420
27	0.280
28	0.040
29	-0.049
31	0.360
32	-
33	0.190
34	0.150
35	0.030
36	0.050
37	-
39	0.290
40	-0.140
41	0.010
42	0.290
43	-0.060
44	0.190
45	0.200
46	0.150
47	0.420

UC

No of labs., p	41
No of repl., n	2
d	0.195
s ²	0.058
s	0.241
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	5.1886
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0000 ***

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

Ammonium, mg/L N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB	
1	-	
2	-0.0110	
3	-0.0130	
4	-0.0020	
5	-0.0020	
6	-0.0100	
7	-0.0090	
8	0.0410	
9	-0.0060	
10	0.0020	
11	-0.0120	
12	-0.0280	
13	0.0010	
14	-0.0050	
15	-0.0040	
16	-0.0250	
17	-0.0140	
18	-0.0210	
18A	-0.0120	
19	0.0070	
20	0.0050	
21	-0.0450	UG
22	0.0100	
23	-	
24	-	
25	-0.0120	
27	0.0010	
28	-0.0130	
29	0.0000	
31	-0.0150	
32	-	
33	-	
34	-0.0280	
35	-	
36	-0.0230	
37	0.0250	
39	-0.0140	
40	-0.0060	
41	-0.0120	
42	0.0040	
43	-0.0160	
44	0.0050	
45	0.0750	UC
46	-0.0050	
47	-0.0110	

No of labs., p	37
No of repl., n	2
d	-0.0062
s ²	0.0002
s	0.0136
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	-2.7597
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0090 **

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

Nitrite+nitrate, mg/L N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	-
2	-0.030
3	-0.006
4	-
5	-
6	-
7	-
8	-
9	-
10	-
11	-
12	0.050
13	0.020
14	-
15	0.030
16	-
17	0.040
18	-
18A	-
19	-
20	0.010
21	-
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	-
27	-
28	-
29	-0.065
31	-
32	-
33	0.047
34	-
35	-
36	-
37	-469.550 UC
39	-
40	-
41	-
42	-
43	0.140
44	0.010
45	-
46	0.050
47	-

No of labs., p	12
No of repl., n	2
d	0.025
s ²	0.003
s	0.050
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	1.7007
Sign. level, p(t)	0.1171

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrate, mg/L N

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	-
2	-
3	-
4	-0.130
5	0.040
6	0.000
7	-
8	-
9	0.000
10	-0.130
11	-0.100
12	0.015
13	-
14	0.160
15	-
16	-0.090
17	-
18	0.100
18A	-0.000
19	-0.020
20	-
21	0.030
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	-0.020
27	0.100
28	0.020
29	-0.095
31	-0.050
32	-
33	-
34	-0.010
35	-
36	0.000
37	-
39	0.070
40	0.020
41	0.160
42	0.020
43	-
44	-0.020
45	0.030
46	-
47	0.040
No of labs., p	27
No of repl., n	2
d	0.005
s ²	0.006
s	0.075
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	0.3589
Sign. level, p(t)	0.7226

No test statistics were found to be significant

Conductivity, mS/m

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB	
1	-1.10	UG
2	-	
3	-0.50	
4	-	
5	-0.10	
6	-0.70	
7	-	
8	-	
9	-	
10	-	
11	-	U
12	-	
13	-0.70	
14	-	
15	-	
16	0.10	
17	-	
18	-	
18A	-	
19	-	
20	-	
21	-	
22	-	
23	-	
24	0.00	
25	-	
27	-	
28	-	
29	-	U
31	0.10	
32	-0.60	
33	-0.70	
34	-	
35	2.30	UG
36	-	
37	5.00	UC
39	-	
40	-	
41	-	
42	-	
43	-	
44	-	
45	-	U
46	-	
47	-	

No of labs., p	9
No of repl., n	2
d	-0.34
s ²	0.13
s	0.36
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	-2.8629
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0211 *

* denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference (t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

U denotes data excluded from the data file

Difference for sample pair AB is significantly different from 0, and data should be corrected with the difference (in spike value), during execution of Cochran's test.

pH,

Control of differences within sample pairs

Laboratory	Difference AB
1	0.000
2	0.000
3	0.010
4	0.020
5	-0.020
6	0.010
7	0.000
8	-
9	0.000
10	0.010
11	0.000
12	0.000
13	-0.030
14	0.010
15	0.000
16	0.030
17	0.000
18	-0.010
18A	-
19	0.021
20	0.020
21	0.010
22	0.000
23	0.000
24	-0.010
25	-0.030
27	0.000
28	-0.020
29	-0.010
31	-0.050
32	-0.010
33	0.000
34	0.060
35	0.020
36	0.000
37	0.000
39	0.000
40	-0.010
41	-0.020
42	-0.030
43	0.050
44	0.010
45	-0.020
46	0.010
47	-0.020

UG

No of labs., p	42
No of repl., n	2
d	-0.000
s ²	0.000
s	0.020
t = $\sqrt{p} \cdot (d/s)$	-0.0683
Sign. level, p(t)	0.9459

No test statistics were found to be significant
UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

ANNEX D CONTROL OF RECOVERY

Total nitrogen, mg/L N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	6.470
2	7.165
3	6.570
4	6.465
5	6.625
6	6.705
7	6.700
8	7.115
9	6.420
10	5.855
11	6.910
12	6.229
13	7.105
14	6.560
15	7.170
16	7.080
17	6.160
18	6.295
18A	6.765
19	5.710
20	6.780
21	-
22	6.685
23	5.795
24	6.210
25	6.410
27	6.850
28	7.000
29	6.910
31	6.670
32	-
33	7.096
34	7.275
35	6.935
36	7.085
37	-
39	6.755
40	6.740
41	7.255
42	7.745
43	7.020
44	7.015
45	6.940
46	6.795
47	6.420

UC

No of labs., p	41
No of repl., n	2
m	6.750
s ²	0.156
s	0.395
Assigned value, μ	6.97
Recovery, %	96.8
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-3.5682
Sign. level, p(t)	0.0010 ***

* denotes that there is a significant difference
(t-test, 5%-level)

** denotes that there is a significant difference
(t-test, 1%-level)

*** denotes that there is a significant difference
(t-test, 0.1%-level)

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Ammonium, mg/L N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	-
2	0.8635
3	0.8035
4	0.8130
5	0.8160
6	0.8150
7	0.8145
8	0.7695
9	0.8130
10	0.8150
11	0.8170
12	0.7681
13	0.7805
14	0.7395
15	0.7790
16	0.7945
17	0.7580
18	0.8285
18A	0.8210
19	0.7445
20	0.8055
21	0.6085
22	0.7560
23	-
24	-
25	0.8330
27	0.7895
28	0.8085
29	0.7900
31	0.7525
32	-
33	-
34	0.7920
35	-
36	0.8175
37	0.6805
39	0.8060
40	0.7790
41	0.8020
42	0.7480
43	0.8050
44	0.7355
45	0.9465
46	0.8065
47	0.8235

UG

UC

No of labs., p	37
No of repl., n	2
m	0.7915
s ²	0.0012
s	0.0348
Assigned value, μ	0.786
Recovery, %	100.7
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	0.9541
Sign. level, p(t)	0.3464

No test statistics were found to be significant

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

Nitrite and nitrate, mg/L N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	-
2	2.625
3	2.520
4	-
5	-
6	-
7	-
8	-
9	-
10	-
11	-
12	2.481
13	2.270
14	-
15	2.375
16	-
17	2.530
18	-
18A	-
19	-
20	2.255
21	-
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	-
27	-
28	-
29	2.481
31	-
32	-
33	2.327
34	-
35	-
36	-
37	2614.775 UC
39	-
40	-
41	-
42	-
43	2.280
44	2.225
45	-
46	2.315
47	-

No of labs., p	12
No of repl., n	2
m	2.390
s ²	0.017
s	0.131
Assigned value, μ	2.43
Recovery, %	98.4
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-1.0450
Sign. level, p(t)	0.3185

No test statistics were found to be significant
UC denotes a Cochran outlier

Nitrate, mg/L N

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	-
2	-
3	-
4	2.415
5	2.260
6	2.410
7	-
8	-
9	2.390
10	2.415
11	2.660
12	2.487
13	-
14	2.630
15	-
16	2.745
17	-
18	2.470
18A	2.260
19	2.250
20	-
21	2.205
22	-
23	-
24	-
25	2.420
27	2.280
28	2.320
29	2.497
31	2.205
32	-
33	-
34	2.385
35	-
36	2.470
37	-
39	2.315
40	2.440
41	2.180
42	2.420
43	-
44	2.240
45	2.435
46	-
47	2.290

No of labs., p	27
No of repl., n	2
m	2.389
s ²	0.020
s	0.141
Assigned value, μ	2.38
Recovery, %	100.4
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	0.3187
Sign. level, p(t)	0.7525

No test statistics were found to be significant

Conductivity, mS/m

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB	
1	89.35	UG
2	-	
3	109.65	
4	-	
5	103.95	
6	105.55	
7	-	
8	-	
9	-	
10	-	
11	-	U
12	-	
13	107.85	
14	-	
15	-	
16	105.65	
17	-	
18	-	
18A	-	
19	-	
20	-	
21	-	
22	-	
23	-	
24	104.80	
25	-	
27	-	
28	-	
29	-	U
31	108.45	
32	106.50	
33	106.15	
34	-	
35	94.75	UG
36	-	
37	105.50	UC
39	-	
40	-	
41	-	
42	-	
43	-	
44	-	
45	-	U
46	-	
47	-	

No of labs., p	9
No of repl., n	2
m	106.51
s ²	3.34
s	1.83
Assigned value, μ	105.7
Recovery, %	100.8
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	1.3232
Sign. level, p(t)	0.2223

No test statistics were found to be significant

UC denotes a Cochran outlier

UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

U denotes data excluded from the data file

pH,

Control of recovery, average of results

Laboratory	Sample pair AB
1	6.810
2	6.850
3	6.845
4	6.920
5	6.890
6	6.875
7	6.880
8	-
9	6.910
10	6.935
11	6.890
12	6.890
13	6.835
14	6.955
15	6.870
16	6.835
17	6.870
18	6.915
18A	-
19	6.861
20	6.910
21	7.015
22	6.900
23	6.890
24	6.855
25	6.905
27	6.920
28	6.740
29	6.875
31	6.965
32	6.865
33	6.890
34	6.960
35	6.890
36	6.880
37	6.950
39	6.870
40	6.935
41	6.920
42	6.895
43	6.875
44	6.795
45	7.040
46	7.205 UG
47	6.970

No of labs., p	42
No of repl., n	2
m	6.894
s ²	0.003
s	0.055
Assigned value, μ	6.90
Recovery, %	99.9
$t = \sqrt{p} \cdot (m - \mu) / s$	-0.7139
Sign. level, p(t)	0.4793

No test statistics were found to be significant
UG denotes a Grubbs outlier

ANNEX E CONCENTRATION LEVEL

Concentration level SPIL-2 (2020)

Parameter	Unit	Sample	Bottle no.	I	II	Bottle Average	Sample Average	Assigned value	Spike			
									Measured	Assigned		
Total nitrogen	mg/L N	A1	11	6,77	6,81	6,79	6,74	6,97	1,64	1,86		
			26	6,79	6,73	6,76						
			53	6,67	6,69	6,68						
		B1	6	8,36	8,35	8,36	8,38	8,83				
			3	8,38	8,39	8,39						
			63	8,39	8,41	8,40						
Ammonium	mg/L N	A1	11	0,809	0,812	0,81	0,809	0,786	0,182	0,171		
			26	0,806	0,807	0,81						
			53	0,807	0,810	0,81						
		B1	6	0,986	0,987	0,99	0,991	0,979				
			3	0,985	0,986	0,99						
			63	1,000	1,000	1,00						
Nitrite+nitrate / Nitrate	mg/L N	A1	11	2,44	2,41	2,43	2,41	2,43	0,33	0,45		
			26	2,40	2,43	2,42						
			53	2,36	2,41	2,39						
		B1	6	2,65	2,64	2,65	2,74	2,81				
			3	2,78	2,81	2,80						
			63	2,78	2,79	2,79						
pH		A2	10	6,89	6,88	6,88	6,88	6,89	0,00	0		
			28	6,88	6,88	6,88						
			62	6,89	6,88	6,88						
		B2	18	6,88	6,87	6,88	6,88	6,89				
			40	6,89	6,88	6,88						
			65	6,88	6,88	6,88						

ANNEX F HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY

PT:	SPIL-2
Parameter:	NH4
Unit:	mg/L N
Sigma:	0,053

Responsible for tests: HBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test

Date: 2020-04-28

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
B1-4	0,975	0,977	1,0	0,001	0,000
B1-19	0,999	1,000	1,0	0,001	0,000
B1-27	0,969	0,972	1,0	0,002	0,000
B1-30	0,986	0,988	1,0	0,001	0,000
B1-39	0,998	0,999	1,0	0,001	0,000
B1-43	0,980	0,980	1,0	0,000	0,000
B1-52	0,998	0,998	1,0	0,000	0,000
B1-56	0,990	0,991	1,0	0,001	0,000
B1-62	0,992	0,992	1,0	0,000	0,000
B1-65	0,999	0,999	1,0	0,000	0,000

For homogeneity

General average (x)	0,9891
Sample average sd (s_x)	0,0105
Within-sample sd (s_w):	0,0010
Between-samples sd (ss):	0,0105
S_L in the Proficiency Test:	0,034
S_R in the Proficiency Test:	0,036

Stability test

Date: 2020-05-14

Sample	x(a)	x(b)
B1-6	0,986	0,967
B1-37	0,985	0,986
B1-63	1,000	1,000

For stability

General average (y):	0,9873333
$ x-y $ =	0,0017667

Conclusions

ss = 0,0105 0.3*sigma= 0,0160	
$ x-y $ = 0,0017667	
Analytical quality	Is $s_w < 0,15 \cdot \sigma$ YES
Homogeneity:	Is ss < 0.3*sigma? YES
Stability:	$ x-y < 0.3 \cdot \sigma$? YES

PT:SPIL-2 Parameter:NO3 Unit:mg/L N Sigma:0,155
--

Responsible for tests: HBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test Date:2020-04-28

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
B1-4	2,87	2,89	2,9	0,014	0,000
B1-19	2,89	2,86	2,9	0,021	0,00
B1-27	2,87	2,89	2,9	0,014	0,000
B1-30	2,89	2,88	2,9	0,007	0,000
B1-34	2,88	2,88	2,9	0,000	0,000
B1-39	2,83	2,86	2,8	0,021	0,000
B1-43	2,86	2,93	2,9	0,049	0,002
B1-52	2,87	2,84	2,9	0,021	0,000
B1-56	2,90	2,88	2,9	0,014	0,000
B1-62	2,85	2,84	2,8	0,007	0,000
B1-65	2,87	2,88	2,9	0,007	0,000
B1-74	2,93	2,92	2,9	0,007	0,000

For homogeneity

General average (x)	2,88
Sample average sd (s _x)	0,022
Within-sample sd (s _w):	0,020
Between-samples sd (ss):	0,0174
S _L in the Proficiency Test:	0,136
S _R in the Proficiency Test:	0,146

Conclusions

ss =0,017 0.3*sigma=0,0466 /x-y/ =0,1358333	
Analytical quality	Is s _w < 0,15*sigma YES
Homogeneity:	Is ss < 0.3*sigma? YES

PT:	SPIL-2
Parameter:	pH
Unit:	
Sigma:	0,1

Responsible for tests: HBP/S7MS

Homogeneity test

Date: 2020-04-28

Sample	x(a)	x(b)	average	sd	sd^2
B2-2	6,96	6,96	7,0	0,000	0,000
B2-11	6,98	6,98	7,0	0,000	0,00
B2-21	6,97	6,95	7,0	0,014	0,000
B2-25	6,99	6,94	7,0	0,035	0,001
B2-29	6,93	6,96	6,9	0,021	0,000
B2-39	6,94	6,93	6,9	0,007	0,000
B2-48	6,96	6,97	7,0	0,007	0,000
B2-53	6,96	6,93	6,9	0,021	0,000
B2-57	6,96	6,92	6,9	0,028	0,001
B2-61	6,95	6,93	6,9	0,014	0,000
B2-67	6,94	6,96	7,0	0,014	0,000
B2-73	6,96	6,94	7,0	0,014	0,000

For homogeneity

General average (x)	6,95
Sample average sd (s _x)	0,013
Within-sample sd (s _w):	0,018
Between-samples sd (ss):	0,0038
S _L in the Proficiency Test:	0,054
S _R in the Proficiency Test:	0,056

Conclusions

ss = 0,004 0.3*sigma= 0,02
 /x-y/ = 0,0725833

Homogeneity: Is ss < 0.3*sigma?
YES